LOOP-FREE GRAY CODE ALGORITHM FOR THE e-RESTRICTED GROWTH FUNCTIONS

TOUFIK MANSOUR, GHALIB NASSAR, AND VINCENT VAJNOVSZKI

ABSTRACT

The subject of Gray codes algorithms for the set partitions of $\{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$ had been covered in several works. The first Gray code for that set was introduced by Knuth [3], later, Ruskey presented a modified version of Knuth's algorithm with distance two, Ehrlich [5] introduced a loop-free algorithm for the set of partitions of $\{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$, Ruskey and Savage [16] generalized Ehrlich's results and give two Gray codes for the set of partitions of $\{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$, and recently, Mansour et al. [11] gave another Gray code and loop-free generating algorithm for that set by adopting plane tree techniques.

In this paper, we introduce the set of e-restricted growth functions (a generalization of restricted growth functions) and extend the aforementioned results by giving a Gray code with distance one for this set; and as a particular case we obtain a new Gray code for set partitions in restricted growth function representation. Our Gray code satisfies some prefix properties and can be implemented by a loop-free generating algorithm using classical techniques; such algorithms can be used as a practical solution of some difficult problems. Finally, we give some enumerative results concerning the restricted growth functions of order d.

Keywords: Gray codes, Loop-free algorithms, Partitions, e-restricted growth functions

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 05A05, 94B25, Secondary: 05A15

1. INTRODUCTION

A Gray code for a combinatorial class is a listing of its objects in which only "small change" takes place between any two consecutive objects and does not depend on the size of the objects; the "small change" is considered with respect to the Hamming distance and it depends on the particular family. A d-Gray code is a Gray code such that the Hamming distance between any two consecutive objects is at most d. Several authors introduced Gray codes for permutations $[6, 19]$, involutions $[22]$, fixedpoint free involutions [22], derangements [4], permutations with a fixed number of cycles [1], and partitions of a set [5, 16, 11]. A generating algorithm which takes only a constant amount of time between consecutive objects of a combinatorial class is said to be loop-free. The notion of loop-free algorithms was first formulated by Ehrlich [5]. Nowadays one can find many loop-free algorithms for various combinatorial classes such as permutations [5], multiset permutations [20], set partitions [5, 11], compositions [13] and others.

A restricted growth function of length n is an integer sequence $\pi = \pi_1 \pi_2 \cdots \pi_n$ such that $\pi_1 = 1$ and $\pi_{i+1} \leq \max\{\pi_1, \ldots, \pi_i\} + 1$, for all $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ (see for example [18]). There is a bijection between the set of restricted growth functions $\pi_1 \pi_2 \cdots \pi_n$ of length n and the set of partitions of

1

 $\{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$, namely: $\pi_1 \pi_2 \cdots \pi_n \mapsto B_1/B_2/\cdots/B_k$ if and only if $\pi_j = i$ implies $j \in B_i$; or, conversely, $B_1/B_2/\cdots/B_k \mapsto \pi_1\pi_2\cdots\pi_n$ if and only if $j \in B_i$ implies $\pi_j = i$. We consider a natural extension of this definition.

Definition 1. Let $e = e_1e_2...e_n$ be a length-n integer sequence with $e_1 = 0$ and $e_i \ge 1$ for $i \ge 2$. An e-restricted growth function is a sequence $\pi = \pi_1 \pi_2 \dots \pi_n$ with

- $\pi_1 = 1$, and
- $1 \leq \pi_i \leq e_i + \max\{\pi_1, \pi_2, \ldots, \pi_{i-1}\}\text{, for } 2 \leq i \leq n.$

In particular, if there exists an integer d such that $e_2 = e_3 = \ldots = e_n = d$, then π is called *restricted* growth function of order d. Thus the standard restricted growth functions correspond to the restricted growth functions of order $d = 1$. For a given integer n and an integer sequence **e** as in Definition 1 we denote by $P_{\mathbf{e},n}$ the set of e-restricted growth functions; and for an integer d we denote by $P_{d,n}$ the set of restricted growth function of order d; and so, the standard restricted growth function set is $P_{1,n}$, see [18].

2. GRAY CODE FOR $P_{\mathbf{e},n}$

Our main goal in this section is to give a Gray code, with distance 1, for $P_{\mathbf{e},n}$. By mean of a generating algorithm we define a list, $\mathcal{L}_{e,n}$, for the set $P_{e,n}$ and we will show that the obtained list is a Gray code.

A list for a set of sequences is prefix partitioned if all sequences in the list having the same prefix are consecutive. Our strategy in the construction of a prefix partitioned Gray code for $P_{\mathbf{e},n}$ is the following. We assign to each position of a sequence in $P_{e,n}$ a status: active or inactive; and initially all positions—except the leftmost one—are active. After the initialization step, the algorithm repeatedly does on the current sequence π in $P_{\mathbf{e},n}$ the following:

- find the rightmost active position i in π ;
- change appropriately the *i*th element in π and output π ;
- if all prefixes of the form $\pi_1 \pi_2 \dots \pi_{i-1} x$ have been obtained, then set position *i* inactive;
- set all positions at the right of i active.

For a given prefix $\pi_1 \pi_2 \dots \pi_{i-1}$ the algorithm above sketched will exhaust all possible values for $\pi_i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, m\}$, with $m = e_i + \max\{\pi_1, \pi_2, \ldots, \pi_{i-1}\}\$ in an appropriate order. Now we define two such orders on the set $\{1, 2, ..., m\}$ depending on a parameter $f \in \{1, 2\}$, called *direction*. For an integer $m \geq 2$ let define the ordering succ_{f,m} on the set $\{1, 2, \ldots, m\}$ by

(1)
$$
\text{succ}_{f,m}(x) = \begin{cases} m, & \text{if } x = f \text{ and } (m > 2 \text{ or } f = 1); \\ x - 1, & \text{if } x \neq f \text{ and } x - 1 \neq f \text{ and } x > 2; \\ 1, & \text{if } f = 2 \text{ and } (m = 2 \text{ or } x = 3). \end{cases}
$$

For example, the successive elements of the set $\{1, 2, \ldots, m\}$ are

- listed in succ_{1,m} order: $1, m, m 1, \ldots, 2$, and
- listed in succ_{2,m} order: 2, m, m 1, ..., 3, 1.

The implementation of the above algorithm needs three auxiliary array: $f = f_1 f_2 \dots f_n$, $m =$ $m_1m_2...m_n$ and $a = a_1a_2...a_n$; the meaning of them is given below.

- f_i is the direction of the next change of π_i . Initially $f_i = 1$ for all i,
- m_i is the largest value of π_i considering the prefix $\pi_1 \pi_2 \dots \pi_{i-1}$ fixed; that is $m_i = e_i +$ $\max\{\pi_1, \pi_2, \ldots, \pi_{i-1}\}.$ Initially $m_i = e_i + 1$ for all *i*.
- a_i is 0 or 1 according as i is an active position or not in π . Initially $a_i = 1$ for all i, except $a_1 = 0.$

Let denote by $\mathcal{L}_{e,n}$ the list produced by the previous algorithm. Now we give a more formal expression of this algorithm, which after the initialization stage of the auxiliary arrays as above and of π by $11...1$ performs

```
output \piwhile not all a_i are zeros do
NEXT
output \pienddo
```
The procedure NEXT is given below and computes the successor of a sequence π in $P_{e,n}$ and updates arrays a, m and f .

global array: π , a, f, m, e procedure NEXT local: i, j $i := \max_{1 \leq j \leq n} \{j | a_j = 1\}$ /* i is the rightmost active position in $\pi^*/$ $\pi_i := \mathrm{succ}_{f_i, m_i + e_i}(\pi_i)$ if $\pi_i = 1$ and $f_i = 2$ or $\pi_i = 2$ and $f_i = 1 \nmid \pi_i$ is the last value in its direction $\pi/$ **then** $a_i := 0 \, (*\n$ set position *i* inactive */ $f_i := \pi_i$ /* change the direction of π_i */ endif for j from $i+1$ to n do $a_i := 1$ /* set active all positions at the right of $i^*/$ $m_i := \max(m_{i-1}, \pi_i)$ enddo end procedure

Because of the research of the largest i with $a_i = 1$ and of the inner loop for this generating algorithm is not efficient in general. At the end of this section we will explain how using general known techniques it can be implemented by a loop-free algorithm, and so efficiently.

A sequence $\pi' = \pi_1 \pi_2 \dots \pi_j$, $1 \leq j < n$, is an *admissible proper prefix* for $P_{\mathbf{e},n}$ if there is (at least) a sequence in $P_{\mathbf{e},n}$ with the prefix π' . For a given admissible proper prefix π' our algorithm produces sequences with prefix $\pi' x$ for all $x \in \{1, 2, \ldots, e_i + \max\{\pi_1, \pi_2, \ldots, \pi_{i-1}\}\}\.$ Iteratively applying this fact we have that the list $\mathcal{L}_{e,n}$ defined by the previous algorithm is an exhaustive list for the set $P_{e,n}$. In addition, since a single element is changed in the current sequence (by the procedure NEXT) in order to obtain its successor, we have

Proposition 2. The list $\mathcal{L}_{e,n}$ is a 1-Gray code for the set $P_{e,n}$, that is, two consecutive sequences in $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{e},n}$ differ in exactly one position.

By construction first $(\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{e},n}) = 1111...1$, and if $\ell_1 \ell_2 ... \ell_n = \text{last}(\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{e},n})$, then $\ell_1 = 1$, and $\ell_i \in \{1,2\}$ for $i \geq 2$. For example:

- for $e = 02322$, $last(\mathcal{L}_{e,5}) = 12221$;
- for $e = 01111$, $last(\mathcal{L}_{e,5}) = last(\mathcal{L}_{1,5}) = 12121$, see Table 2;
- for $e = 03333$, $last(\mathcal{L}_{e,5}) = 12111$.

T. Walsh gave in [23] a general generating algorithm for Gray code lists $\mathcal L$ satisfying the following two properties:

- sequences with the same prefix are consecutive (that is, the list is *prefix partitioned*);
- for each proper prefix $\pi_1 \pi_2 \cdots \pi_i$ of a sequence in $\mathcal L$ there are at least two values a and b such that $\pi_1 \pi_2 \cdots \pi_i a$ and $\pi_1 \pi_2 \cdots \pi_i b$ are both prefixes of sequences in \mathcal{L} .

Our Gray code list $\mathcal{L}_{e,n}$ satisfies Walsh's previous desiderata and so it can be generated by a loop-free algorithm by applying his general method. See also [21] where is given a general technique for the loopfree generation of particular subsets of the product space. Alternatively, a loop-free implementation can be obtained by using the finished and unfinished lists method, introduced in [14].

	1111		11 1323		21 1334	31	1232
- 2	1 1 1 3	12	1322		22 1333	32 ¹	1235
\mathcal{S}	1112	13	1342	23	1332	33	1234
$\frac{1}{4}$	1122	14	1346	24	1312	$3\frac{1}{4}$	1233
5	1 1 2 4	15	1345		25 1313	35 ¹	1231
ϵ	1 1 2 3	16	1344	26	1311	36	1221
γ	1121	17	1343	27	1211	37	1224
8	1321	18	1341		28 1214	38	1223
9	1325	19	1331	29	1213	39	1222
10	1324	20	1335	30	1212		

TABLE 1. The 39 sequences in the list $\mathcal{L}_{e,4}$ with $e = 0212$.

		13	11211		25 12321	37	12332
$\mathfrak{\mathcal{Q}}$	11112	14	11212	26	12324	38	12312
\mathcal{S}	11122	15	12212	27	12323	39	12313
$\overline{4}$	11123	16	12213	28	12322	40 [°]	12311
5	11121	17	12211	29	12342	$\angle 1$	12111
6	11221	18	12231	30	12345	42°	12112
γ	11223	19	12234	31	12344	43	12122
8	11222	20	12233	32	12343	44	12123
9	11232	21	12232	33	12341	$\sqrt{45}$	12121
10	11234	22	12222	34	12331		
11	1 1 2 3 3	23	12223	35	12334		
12	11231	24	12221	36	12333		

TABLE 2. The 45 restricted growth functions of length 5 in $\mathcal{L}_{1,5}$.

3. ENUMERATION RESTRICTED GROWTH FUNCTION OF ORDER d

Let $p_{n,d,k}$ be the number of restricted growth functions $\pi = \pi_1 \pi_2 \cdots \pi_n$ of order d of length n such that $\max_{i\in\{1,2,...,n\}} \pi_i = k$. We define $P_{d,k}(x) = \sum_{n\geq 0} p_{n,d,k} x^n$ to be the generating function for the sequence $p_{n,d,k}$ according to the first parameter. Since each restricted growth function π of order d with $\max_{i\in\{1,2,...,n\}} \pi_i = k$ can be decomposed as $\pi = \pi' k \pi''$, where π'' is any sequence of integers in $\{1, 2, \ldots, k\}$ and π' is a restricted growth function of order d such that the largest entry in π' is in the set $\{k-d, k-d+1, \ldots, k-1\}$. Hence, the generating function $P_{d,k}(x)$ satisfies the recurrence relation

(2)
$$
P_{d,k}(x) = \frac{x}{1-kx} \left(P_{d,k-1}(x) + P_{d,k-2}(x) + \dots + P_{d,k-d}(x) \right)
$$

with the initial conditions $P_{d,k}(x) = 0$ for all $k < 1$ and $P_{d,1}(x) = \frac{x}{1-x}$.

Theorem 3. The generating function $P_{d,k}(x)$ is given by

$$
\sum_{\substack{1 \text{ } i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_s = k, \\ i_j - i_{j-1} \leq d, \ j = 2, 3, \ldots, s}} \frac{x^s}{(1 - i_1 x) \cdots (1 - i_s x)}.
$$

Proof. We proceed the proof by induction on k. Clearly, the theorem holds for $k < 0$ and $k = 1$. If we assume that the theorem holds $k < \ell$, then by (2) we obtain

$$
P_{d,k}(x) = \frac{x}{1 - kx} \sum_{j=k-d}^{k-1} \left(\sum_{\substack{1 \le i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_s = \ell, \\ i_\ell - i_{\ell-1} \le d, \ell = 2, 3, \ldots, s}} \frac{x^s}{(1 - i_1 x) \cdots (1 - i_s x)} \right)
$$
\n
$$
= \sum_{\substack{1 \le i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_{s+1} = k, \\ i_\ell - i_{\ell-1} \le d, \ell = 2, 3, \ldots, s+1}} \frac{x^{s+1}}{(1 - i_1 x) \cdots (1 - i_{s+1} x)}
$$
\n
$$
= \sum_{\substack{1 \le i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_s = k, \\ i_\ell - i_{\ell-1} \le d, \ell = 2, 3, \ldots, s}} \frac{x^s}{(1 - i_1 x) \cdots (1 - i_s x)},
$$
\nas claimed.

As a corollary of the above theorem we obtain that the generating function for the number of restricted growth functions of order d and of length n is given by

$$
P_d(x) = 1 + \sum_{k \ge 1} \left(\sum_{\substack{1 = i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_s = k, \\ i_\ell - i_{\ell-1} \le d, \ell = 2, 3, \dots, s}} \frac{x^s}{(1 - i_1 x) \cdots (1 - i_s x)} \right).
$$

For instance, if $d = 1$ then

$$
P_1(x) = 1 + \sum_{k \ge 1} \frac{x^k}{(1-x) \cdots (1-kx)},
$$

which is the ordinary generating function for the number of set partitions of $\{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$, see [18].

REFERENCES

- [1] J.-L. Baril, Gray code for permutations with a fixed number of cycles, *Disc. Math.* **307:13** (2007) 1559–1571.
- [2] J.R. Bitner, G. Ehrlich and E.M. Reingold, Efficient generation of the binary reflected Gray code and its applications, Commun. ACM, 19(9):517–521, 1976.
- [3] D.E. Knuth, The art of computer programming, Vol. 1, Fundamental algorithms, Addison-Wesley, Reading, Mass.-London-Amsterdam, 1975.
- [4] J.-L. Baril and V. Vajnovszki, Gray code for derangements, Disc. App. Math. 140 (2004) 207–221.
- [5] G. Ehrlich, Loopless algorithms for generating permutations, combinations, and other combinatorial configurations, *J. Assoc. Comput. Mach.* **20** (1973) 500-513.
- [6] S.M. Johson, Generating of permutations by adjacent transposition, Math. Comput. 17 (1963) 282–285.
- [7] J.M. Lucas, D. Roelants van Baronnaigien and F. Ruskey, On rotations and the generation of binary trees, J. Algorithms 15 (1993) 343–366.
- [8] M. Klazar, On abab-free and abba-free set partitions, *Europ. J. Combin.* **17** (1996) 53–68.
- [9] C.W. Ko and F. Ruskey, Generating permutations of a bag by interchanges, Inform. Processing Lett. 41 (1992) 263–269.
- [10] J.F. Korsh and S. Lipschutz, Generating multiset permutations in constant time, J. Algorithms 25 (1997) 321–335.
- [11] T. Mansour and G. Nassar, Gray codes, loopless algorithm and partitions, J. Math. Model Algor. 7.3 (2008) 291–310.
- [12] T. Mansour and G. Nassar, Up-staircase words, generating and enumeration, to appear.
- [13] T. Mansour and G. Nassar, Loop-free Gray code algorithms for the set of compositions, J. Math. Model Algor. 9 2010 343–356.
- [14] J.M. Lucas, D.R. van Baronaigien and F. Ruskey, On rotations and the generation of binary trees, J. Algorithms 15 (1993) 1–24.
[15] F. Ruskey
- Ruskey, Combinatorial generation, see http://www.cs.sunysb.edu/ algorith/implement/ruskey/implement.shtml.
- [16] F. Ruskey and C.D. Savage, Gray codes for set partitions and restricted growth tails, Aust. J. Combin. 10 (1994) 85–96.
- [17] R. Stanley, Enumerative combinatorics, Vol. 1, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England, 1997.
- [18] D. Stanton and D. White, Constructive Combinatorics, Springer, 1986.
- [19] H.F. Trotter, Algorithm 115, permutations, Comm. ACM 5 (1962) 434–435.
- [20] V. Vajnovszki, A loopless algorithm for generating the permutations of a multiset, Theoret. Comput. Sci. 307 (2003) 415–431.
- [21] V. Vajnovszki, On the loopless generation of binary tree sequences, Inform. Processing Lett. 68 (1998) 113–117.
- [22] T. Walsh, Gray codes for involutions, J. Combin. Math. Combin. Comput. 36 (2001) 95-118.
- [23] T. Walsh, Generating Gray codes in O(1) worst-case time per word, 4th Discrete Mathematics and Theoretical Computer Science Conference, Dijon-France, 7-12 July 2003 (LNCS 2731, 71–88).

Department of Mathematics, University of Haifa, 31905 Haifa, Israel

E-mail address: toufik@math.haifa.ac.il

Department of Mathematics, University of Haifa, 31905 Haifa, Israel

E-mail address: nassar ghalib@hotmail.com

LE2I, UNIVERSITÉ DE BOURGOGNE, BP 47870, 21078 DIJON CEDEX, FRANCE

E-mail address: vvajnov@u-bourgogne.fr